

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen question number:

Question 1 ☒

Question 2 ☒

(This is for part (a)) Source 1 is valuable for an enquiry into the role played by Bose in the Second World War because it tells us that Bose worked with Germany and fought the Japanese. We know this is true as after he left Congress, Bose spoke to Stalin and Hitler, and Hitler agreed to help somewhat. It ~~also~~ is also a 'top secret' report so there would be no reason to lie or to sugar coat events. This makes the source valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the role of ~~Bose~~ played by Bose in the Second World War as it confirms what we already know about Bose working with Hitler to fight the Japanese and it has no reason to lie as it is a top secret report.

However, this ~~source~~ source is not valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the role played by Bose in the Second World War because it doesn't mention that after ~~the~~ the Japanese were defeated, Hitler had no



(This is for part (a)) no more use for Bose so shoved him aside. It also doesn't tell us that Bose spread anti-British propaganda around India in the hope to cause uproar.

Overall, this source is valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the role played by Bose in the Second World War because it confirms that Bose fought the Japanese with Hitler and it confirms his anti-British feelings which drove his actions.

